

CLAIMS

*Sub A2*

1. Method designed to prove to a controller entity,  
 - the authenticity of an entity and/or  
 - the integrity of a message M associated with this entity,  
 by means of all or part of the following parameters or  
 derivatives of these parameters:

- m pairs of private values  $Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_m$  and public values  
 $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m$  ( $m$  being greater than or equal to 1),  
 - a public modulus  $n$  constituted by the product of  $f$  prime factors  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_f$  ( $f$  being greater than or equal to 2),  
 10 the said modulus and the said private and public values  
 being related by relations of the following type

$$G_i \equiv Q_i^v \pmod{n} \text{ or } G_i \equiv Q_i^{-v} \pmod{n}$$

where  $v$  denotes a public exponent of the form:

$$v = 2^k$$

15 where  $k$  is a security parameter greater than 1 ;  
 the said  $m$  public values  $G_i$  being squares  $g_i^2$  of  $m$  distinct  
 base numbers  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m$ , smaller than the  $f$  prime factors  $p_1,$   
 $p_2, \dots, p_m$  ;

20 the said  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m$  prime factors and the said  $m$   
 base numbers  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m$  being produced such that the  
 following conditions are satisfied:

**First condition**

each of the equations:

$$x_v \equiv g_i^2 \pmod{n} \quad (1)$$

SubA2

can be resolved in  $x$  in the ring of integers modulo  $n$ ;

### **Second condition**

if  $G_i \equiv Q_i^v \pmod{n}$ , among the  $m$  numbers  $q_i$  obtained by taking  $Q_i$  squared modulo  $n$ ,  $k-1$  times, one of them is not equal to  $\pm g_i$  (in other words is not trivial),

if  $G_i \cdot Q_i^v \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ , among the  $m$  numbers  $q_i$  obtained by taking the inverse of  $Q_i$  modulo  $n$  squared modulo  $n$ ,  $k-1$  times, one of them is not equal to  $\pm g_i$  (in other words is not trivial) ;

### **Third condition**

at least one of the  $2m$  equations

$$x^2 \equiv g_i \pmod{n} \quad (2)$$

$$x^2 \equiv -g_i \pmod{n} \quad (3)$$

can be resolved in  $x$  in the ring of integers modulo  $n$ ;

the said method implements, in the following steps, an entity called a witness having  $f$  prime factors  $p_i$  and/or  $m$  numbers of base  $g_i$  and/or parameters of the Chinese remainders of the prime factors and/or the public modulus  $n$  and/or the  $m$  private values  $Q_i$  and/or the  $f.m$  components  $Q_{i,j}$  ( $Q_{i,j} \equiv Q_i \pmod{p_j}$ ) of the private values  $Q_i$  and of the public exponent  $v$ ;

- the witness computes commitments  $R$  in the ring of integers modulo  $n$ ; each commitment being computed:

. either by performing operations of the type:

$$R \equiv r^v \pmod{n}$$

where  $r$  is a random value such that  $0 < r < n$ ,

. or

.. by performing operations of the type

$$R_i \equiv r_i^v \pmod{p_i}$$

where  $r_i$  is a random value associated with the prime number  $P_i$  such that  $0 < r_i < P_i$ , each  $r_i$  belonging to a collection of random values  $\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_f\}$

.. then by applying the Chinese remainders method,

- the witness receives one or more challenges  $d$ ; each challenge  $d$  comprising  $m$  integers  $d_i$  hereinafter called

*Subj 2*

elementary challenges; the witness, on the basis of each challenge  $d$ , computes a response  $D$  by performing operations of the type:

$$D \equiv r \cdot Q_1^{d1} \cdot Q_2^{d2} \cdots Q_m^{dm} \pmod{n}$$

5 . or

.. by performing operations of the type:

$$D_i \equiv r_i \cdot Q_{i,1}^{d1} \cdot Q_{i,2}^{d2} \cdots Q_{i,m}^{dm} \pmod{p_i}$$

.. then by applying the Chinese remainders method;  
the said method being such that there are as many  
10 responses  $D$  as there are challenges  $d$  as there are  
commitments  $R$ , each group of numbers  $R, d, D$  forming a  
triplet referenced  $\{R, d, D\}$ .

2. Method according to claim 1, designed to prove the authenticity of an entity known as a demonstrator to an entity  
15 known as the controller, the said demonstrator entity comprising the witness;  
the said demonstrator and controller entities executing the following steps:

. Step 1: act of commitment  $R$

20 - at each call, the witness computes each commitment  $R$  by applying the process specified according to claim 1,  
- the demonstrator sends the controller all or part of each commitment  $R$ ,

. Step 2: act of challenge  $d$

25 - the controller, after having received all or part of each commitment  $R$ , produces challenges  $d$  whose number is equal to the number of commitments  $R$  and sends the challenges  $d$  to the demonstrator,

. Step 3: act of response  $D$

30 - the witness computes the responses  $D$  from the challenges  $d$  by applying the process specified according to claim 1,

. Step 4: act of checking

35 - the demonstrator sends each response  $D$  to the controller,

*SUMMARY*

case where the demonstrator has transmitted a part of each commitment R if the demonstrator has transmitted a part of each commitment R, the controller, having the m public values  $G_1, G_2 \dots, G_m$ , computes a reconstructed commitment  $R'$ , from each challenge  $d$  and each response  $D$ , this reconstructed commitment  $R'$  satisfying a relationship of the type:

$$R' \equiv G_1^{d1} \cdot G_2^{d2} \cdots G_m^{dm} \cdot D^v \pmod{n}$$

or a relationship of the type

$$R' \equiv D^v / G_1^{d1} \cdot G_2^{d2} \cdots G_m^{dm} \pmod{n}$$

the controller ascertains that each reconstructed commitment  $R'$  reproduces all or part of each commitment  $R$  that has been transmitted to it,

case where the demonstrator has transmitted the totality of each commitment R

if the demonstrator has transmitted the totality of each commitment R, the controller, having the m public values  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m$ , ascertains that each commitment  $R$  satisfies a relationship of the type

$$R' \equiv G_1^{d1} \cdot G_2^{d2} \cdots G_m^{dm} \cdot D^v \pmod{n}$$

or a relationship of the type

$$R' \equiv D^v / G_1^{d1} \cdot G_2^{d2} \cdots G_m^{dm} \pmod{n}$$

3. Method according to claim 1, designed to provide proof to an entity, known as the controller entity, of the integrity of a message M associated with an entity called a demonstrator entity, the said demonstrator entity comprising the witness ;  
the said demonstrator and controller entities executing the following steps:

. Step 1: act of commitment R

- at each call, the witness computes each commitment R by applying the process specified according to claim 1,

. Step 2: act of challenge d

*Sub A1*

- the demonstrator applies a hashing function  $h$  whose arguments are the message  $M$  and all or part of each commitment  $R$  to compute at least one token  $T$ ,

- 5
  - the demonstrator sends the token  $T$  to the controller,
  - the controller, after having received a Token  $T$ , produces challenges  $d$  equal in number to the number of commitments  $R$  and sends the challenges  $d$  to the demonstrator,

- 10
  - . **Step 3: act of response D**
  - the witness computes the responses  $D$  from the challenges  $d$  by applying the process specified according to claim 1,

- 15
  - . **Step 4: act of checking**
  - the demonstrator sends each response  $D$  to the controller,

- 20
  - the controller, having the  $m$  public values  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m$ , computes a reconstructed commitment  $R'$ , from each challenge  $d$  and each response  $D$ , this reconstructed commitment  $R'$  satisfying a relationship of the type:

$$R' \equiv G_1^{d1} \cdot G_2^{d2} \cdots G_m^{dm} \cdot D^v \pmod{n}$$

or a relationship of the type

$$R' \equiv D^v / G_1^{d1} \cdot G_2^{d2} \cdots G_m^{dm} \pmod{n}$$

- 25
  - then the controller applies the hashing function  $h$  whose arguments are the message  $M$  and all or part of each reconstructed commitment  $R'$  to reconstruct the token  $T'$ ,

- 30
  - then the controller ascertains that the token  $T'$  is identical to the token  $T$  transmitted.

- 35      4. Method according to claim 1, designed to produce the digital signature of a message  $M$  by an entity known as the signing entity, the said signing entity comprising the witness;

#### **Signing operation**

the said signing entity executes a signing operation in order to obtain a signed message comprising:

- 35
  - the message  $M$ ,
  - the challenges  $d$  and/or the commitments  $R$ ,

*Sub A2*

- the responses D;

the said signing entity executes the signing operation by implementing the following steps:

**. Step 1: act of commitment R**

5 - at each call, the witness computes each commitment R by applying the process specified according to claim 1,

**. Step 2: act of challenge d**

- the signing entity applies a hashing function h whose arguments are the message M and each commitment R to obtain a binary train,

10 - from this binary train, the signing entity extracts challenges d whose number is equal to the number of commitments R,

**. Step 3: act of response D**

15 - the witness computes the responses D from the challenges d by applying the process specified according to claim 1.

20 5. Method according to claim 4, designed to prove the authenticity of the message M by checking the signed message through an entity called a controller;

**Checking operation**

the said controller entity having the signed message executes a checking operation by proceeding as follows:

25 **. case where the controller has commitments R, challenges d, responses D,**

if the controller has commitments R, challenges d, responses D,

30 . . the controller ascertains that the commitments R, the challenges d and the responses D satisfy relationships of the type

$$R \equiv G_1^{d1} \cdot G_2^{d2} \cdot \dots \cdot G_m^{dm} \cdot D^v \pmod{n}$$

or relationships of the type

$$R \equiv D^v / G_1^{d1} \cdot G_2^{d2} \cdot \dots \cdot G_m^{dm} \pmod{n}$$

*Sub A2*

... the controller ascertains that the message M, the challenges d and the commitments R satisfy the hashing function

$$d = h(\text{message}, R)$$

5 . case where the controller has challenges d  
and responses D

if the controller has challenges d and responses D,

.. the controller reconstructs, on the basis of each challenge d and response D, commitments R' satisfying relationships of the type:

$$R' \equiv G_1^{d1} \cdot G_2^{d2} \cdots G_m^{dm} \cdot D^v \pmod{n}$$

or relationships of the type

$$R' \equiv D^v / G_1^{d1} \cdot G_2^{d2} \cdots G_m^{dm} \pmod{n}$$

.. the controller ascertains that the message M and the challenges d satisfy the hashing function

$$d = h(\text{message}, R')$$

. case where the controller has commitments R  
and responses D

if the controller has commitments R and responses D,

20 . . the controller applies the hashing function and reconstructs d'

$$d' = h(\text{message}, R)$$

.. the controller ascertains that the commitments R, the challenges d' and the responses D satisfy relationships of the type:

$$R \equiv G_1^{d1} \cdot G_2^{d2} \cdots G_m^{dm} \cdot D^v \pmod{n}$$

or relationships of the type

$$R \equiv D^v / G_1^{d1} \cdot G_2^{d2} \cdots G_m^{dm} \pmod{n}$$

6. System designed to prove, to a controller server,

30 - the authenticity of an entity and/or  
- the integrity of a message M associated with this entity,  
by means of all or part of the following parameters or derivatives of these parameters:

- m pairs of private values  $Q_1, Q_2, \dots, Q_m$  and public values

35  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m$  (m being greater than or equal to 1),

*Sub A2*

- a public modulus  $n$  constituted by the product of  $f$  prime factors  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_f$  ( $f$  being greater than or equal to 2), the said modulus and the said private and public values being related by relations of the following type:

5            $G_i \cdot Q_i^v \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$  or  $G_i \equiv Q_i^v \pmod{n}$ ;

where  $v$  denotes a public exponent of the form:

$$v = 2^k$$

where  $k$  is a security parameter greater than 1; the said  $m$  public values  $G_i$  being squares  $g_i^2$  of  $m$  distinct base numbers  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m$ , smaller than the  $f$  prime factors  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_f$ ;

the said  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_f$  prime factors and/or the said  $m$  base numbers  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m$  being produced such that the following conditions are satisfied.

15 **First condition**

each of the equations:

$$x_v \equiv g_i^2 \pmod{n} \quad (1)$$

can be resolved in  $x$  in the ring of integers modulo  $n$ ;

**Second condition**

20       if  $G_i \equiv Q_i^v \pmod{n}$ , among the  $m$  numbers  $q_i$  obtained by taking  $Q_i$  squared modulo  $n$ ,  $k-1$  times, one of them is not equal to  $\pm g_i$  (in other words is not trivial).

25       if  $G_i \cdot Q_i^v \not\equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ , among the  $m$  numbers  $q_i$  obtained by taking the inverse of  $Q_i$  modulo  $n$  squared modulo  $n$ ,  $k-1$  times, one of them is not equal to  $\pm g_i$  (in other words is not trivial)

**Third condition**

at least one of the  $2m$  equations

$$x^2 \equiv g_i \pmod{n} \quad (2)$$

$$x^2 \equiv -g_i \pmod{n} \quad (3)$$

30       can be resolved in  $x$  in the ring of integers modulo  $n$ ; the said system comprises a witness device, contained especially in a nomad object which, for example, takes the form of a microprocessor-based bank card,

35       the witness device comprises

*Sub A27*

- a memory zone containing the f prime factors  $p_i$  and/or the m numbers of bases  $g_i$  and/or parameters of the Chinese remainders of the prime factors and/or the public modulus  $n$  and/or the m private values  $Q_i$  and/or the f.m components  $Q_{i,j}$  ( $Q_{i,j} \equiv Q_i \bmod p_j$ ) of the private values  $Q_i$  and of the public exponent  $v$ ;
- 5 the said witness device also comprises:

- random value production means, hereinafter called random value production means of the witness device,

10 - computation means, hereinafter called means for the computation of commitments  $R$  of the witness device, to compute commitments  $R$  in the ring of integers modulo  $n$ ; each commitment being computed:

- either by performing operations of the type:

$$15 \quad R_i \equiv r^v \bmod n$$

where  $r$  is a random value produced by the random value production means, and  $r$  is such that  $0 < r < n$  ;

- or by performing operations of the type :

$$R_i \equiv r_i^v \bmod p_i$$

20 where  $r_i$  is a random value associated with the prime number  $p_i$  such that  $0 < r_i < p_i$  each  $r_i$  belonging to a collection of random values  $\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_f\}$  produced by random value production means, then by applying the Chinese remainders method;

25 the said witness device also comprises:

- reception means hereinafter called the means for the reception of the challenges  $d$  of the witness device, to receive one or more challenges  $d$ ; each challenge  $d$  comprising m integers  $d_i$  hereinafter called elementary challenges;

30 - computation means, hereinafter called means for the computation of the responses  $D$  of the witness device for the computation, on the basis of each challenge  $d$ , of a response  $D$ ,

- either by performing operations of the type:

$$35 \quad D \equiv r \cdot Q_1^{d1} \cdot Q_2^{d2} \cdots Q_m^{dm} \bmod n$$

Sub A27

. or by performing operations of the type:

$$D \equiv r.Q_{i,1}^{d_1}.Q_{i,2}^{d_2} \dots Q_{i,m}^{d_m} \pmod{p_i}$$

and then by applying the Chinese remainders method.

5 - transmission means to transmit one or more commitments R and one or more responses D;

there are as many responses D as there are challenges d as there are commitments R, each group of numbers R, d, D forming a triplet referenced {R, d, D}.

7. System according to claim 6, designed to prove the 10 authenticity of an entity called a demonstrator and an entity called a controller,

the said system being such that it comprises:

15 - a demonstrator device associated with the demonstrator entity, the said demonstrator device being interconnected with the witness device by interconnection means and possibly taking the form especially of logic microcircuits in a nomad object, for example the form of a microprocessor in a microprocessor-based bank card,

20 - a controller device associated with the controller entity, the said controller device especially taking the form of a terminal or remote server, the said controller device comprising connection means for its electrical, electromagnetic, optical or acoustic connection, especially through a data-processing communications network, to the 25 demonstrator device;

the said system enabling the execution of the following steps:

**Step 1: act of commitment R**

30 at each call, the means of computation for the commitments R of the witness device compute each commitment R by applying the process specified according to claim 1,

35 the witness device has means of transmission, hereinafter called the transmission means of the witness device, to transmit all or part of each commitment R to the demonstrator device through the interconnection means,

Sub A2

the demonstrator device also has transmission means, hereinafter called the transmission means of the demonstrator device, to transmit all or part of each commitment R to the controller device through the connection means;

5           **. Step 2: act of challenge d**

the controller device comprises challenge production means for the production, after receiving all or part of each commitment R, of the challenges d equal in number to the number of commitments R,

10          the controller device also has transmission means, hereinafter denoted transmission means of the controller, to transmit challenges d to the demonstrator through connection means,

. Step 3: act of response D

15          the means of reception of the challenges d of the witness device receive each challenge d coming from the demonstrator device through the interconnection means,

the means of computation of the responses D of the witness device compute the responses D from the challenges d by applying the process specified according to claim 1,

. Step 4: act of checking

the transmission means of the demonstrator transmit each response D to the controller,

the controller device also comprises:

25          - computation means, hereinafter called the computation means of the controller device,

              - comparison means, hereinafter called the comparison means of the controller device,

**case where the demonstrator has transmitted a part of each commitment R**

if the transmission means of the demonstrator have transmitted a part of each commitment R, the computation means of the controller device, having m public values  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m$ , compute a reconstructed commitment  $R'$ , from each

*Sub A2*

challenge  $d$  and each response  $D$ , this reconstructed commitment  $R'$  satisfying a relationship of the type:

$$R' \equiv G_1^{d1} \cdot G_2^{d2} \cdots G_m^{dm} \cdot D^v \pmod{n}$$

or a relationship of the type

5             $R' \equiv D^v / G_1^{d1} \cdot G_2^{d2} \cdots G_m^{dm} \pmod{n}$

the comparison means of the controller device compare each reconstructed commitment  $R'$  with all or part of each commitment  $R$  received,

10            **case where the controller has transmitted the totality of each commitment  $R$**

if the transmission means of the demonstrator have transmitted the totality of each commitment  $R$ , the computation means and the comparison means of the controller device, having  $m$  public values  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m$

15            ascertain that each commitment  $R$  satisfies a relationship of the type

$$R \equiv G_1^{d1} \cdot G_2^{d2} \cdots G_m^{dm} \cdot D^v \pmod{n}$$

or a relationship of the type

$$R \equiv D^v / G_1^{d1} \cdot G_2^{d2} \cdots G_m^{dm} \pmod{n}$$

20            8. System according to claim 6, designed to give proof to an entity known as a controller, of the integrity of a message  $M$  associated with an entity known as a demonstrator, the said system being such that it comprises

25            - a demonstrator device associated with the demonstrator entity, the said demonstrator device being interconnected with the witness device by interconnection means and possibly taking the form especially of logic microcircuits in a nomad object, for example the form of a microprocessor in a microprocessor-based bank card,

30            - a controller device associated with the controller entity, the said controller device especially taking the form of a terminal or remote server, the said controller device comprising connection means for its electrical, electromagnetic, optical or acoustic connection, especially

*SubA2*

through a data processing communications network, to the demonstrator device;

the said system enabling the execution of the following steps:

5           **. Step 1: act of commitment R**

at each call, the means of computation of the commitments R of the witness device compute each commitment R by applying the process specified according to claim 1,

10          the witness device has transmission means, hereinafter called the transmission means of the witness device, to transmit all or part of each commitment R to the demonstrator device through the interconnection means,

15           **. Step 2: act of challenge d**

the demonstrator device comprises computation means, hereinafter called the computation means of the demonstrator, applying a hashing function h whose arguments are the message M and all or part of each commitment R to compute at least one token T,

20          the demonstrator device also has transmission means, hereinafter known as the transmission means of the demonstrator device, to transmit each token T through the connection means to the controller device,

25          the controller device also has challenge production means for the production, after having received the token T, of the challenges d in a number equal to the number of commitments R,

30          the controller device also has transmission means, hereinafter called the transmission means of the controller, to transmit the challenges d to the demonstrator through the connection means;

**. Step 3: act of response D**

35          the means of reception of the challenges d of the witness device receive each challenge d coming from the demonstrator device through the interconnection means,

*Sub A2)*

the means of computation of the responses D of the witness device compute the responses D from the challenges d by applying the process specified according to claim 1,

**Step 4: act of checking**

5 the transmission means of the demonstrator transmit each response D to the controller,

the controller device also comprises computation means, hereinafter called the computation means of the controller device, having m public values  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m$ , in order to firstly 10 compute a reconstructed commitment  $R'$ , from each challenge d and each response D, this reconstructed commitment  $R'$  satisfying a relationship of the type:

$$R' \equiv G_1^{d1} \cdot G_2^{d2} \cdot \dots \cdot G_m^{dm} \cdot D^v \pmod{n}$$

or a relationship of the type

$$R' \equiv D^v / G_1^{d1} \cdot G_2^{d2} \cdot \dots \cdot G_m^{dm} \pmod{n}$$

then, secondly, compute a token  $T'$  by applying the hashing function h having as arguments the message M and all or part or each reconstructed commitment  $R'$ , 20 the controller device also has comparison means, hereinafter known as the comparison means of the controller device, to compare the token  $T'$  with the received token T.

9. System according to claim 6, designed to produce the digital signature of a message M, hereinafter known as the signed message, by an entity called a signing entity;

25 the signed message comprising:

- the message M,
- the challenges d and/or the commitments R,
- the responses D;

**Signing operation**

30 the said system being such that it comprises a signing device associated with the signing entity, the said signing device being interconnected with the witness device by interconnection means and possibly taking the form especially of logic microcircuits in a nomad object, for example the form of a 35 microprocessor in a microprocessor-based bank card,

*Suff 27*

the said system enabling the execution of the following steps:

**. Step 1: act of commitment R**

at each call, the means of computation of the commitments R of the witness device compute each commitment R by applying the process specified according to claim 1,

the witness device has means of transmission, hereinafter called the transmission means of the witness device, to transmit all or part of each commitment R to the signing device through the interconnection means,

**. Step 2: act of challenge d**

the signing device comprises computation means, hereinafter called the computation means of the signing device, applying a hashing function h whose arguments are the message M and all or part of each commitment R to compute a binary train and extract, from this binary train, challenges d whose number is equal to the number of commitments R,

**. Step 3: act of response D**

the means for the reception of the challenges d, receive each challenge d coming from the signing device through the interconnection means,

the means for computing the responses D of the witness device compute the responses D from the challenges d by applying the process specified according to claim 1,

the witness device comprises transmission means, hereinafter called means of transmission of the witness device, to transmit the responses D to the signing device through the interconnection means.

10. System according to claim 9, designed to prove the authenticity of the message M by checking the signed message by means of an entity called the controller;

**Checking operation**

the said system being such that it comprises a controller device associated with the controller entity, the said controller device especially taking the form of a terminal or remote

*Sub A27*

server, the said controller device comprising connection means for its electrical, electromagnetic, optical or acoustic connection, especially through a data-processing communications network, to the signing device;

5       the said signing device associated with the signing entity comprises transmission means, hereinafter known as the transmission means of the signing device, for the transmission, to the controller device, of the signed message through the connection means, in such a way that the controller device has  
10      a signed message comprising:

- the message M,
- the challenges d and/or the commitments R,
- the responses D;

the controller device comprises:

15      - computation means hereinafter called the computation means of the controller device,  
          - comparison means, hereinafter called the comparison means of the controller device.

. . . case where the controller device has  
20      commitments R, challenges d, responses D

if the controller has commitments R, challenges d, responses D,

. . . the computation and comparison means of the controller device ascertain that the commitments R, the  
25      challenges d and the responses D satisfy relationships of the type

$$R \equiv G_1^{d1} \cdot G_2^{d2} \cdot \dots \cdot G_m^{dm} \cdot D^v \pmod{n}$$

or a relationship of the type

$$R \equiv D^v / G_1^{d1} \cdot G_2^{d2} \cdot \dots \cdot G_m^{dm} \pmod{n}$$

30      . . . the computation and comparison means of the controller device ascertain that the message M, the challenges d and the commitments R satisfy the hashing function:

$$d = h(\text{message}, R)$$

. . . case where the controller device has challenges  
35      d and responses D

*SubA2*

if the controller device has challenges d and responses D,  
 . . the computation means of the controller device, on  
 the basis of each challenge d and each response D, compute  
 commitments R' satisfying relationships of the type:

$$R \equiv G_1^{d1} \cdot G_2^{d2} \cdots G_m^{dm} \cdot D^v \pmod{n}$$

or a relationship of the type

$$R \equiv D^v / G_1^{d1} \cdot G_2^{d2} \cdots G_m^{dm} \pmod{n}$$

. . the computation and comparison means of the controller device ascertain that the message M and the challenges d satisfy the hashing function:  
 10 challenges d satisfy the hashing function:

$$d = h(\text{message}, R')$$

**case where the controller device has  
commitments R and responses D**

if the controller device has commitments R and  
 15 responses D,

. . the computation means of the controller device apply  
 the hashing function and compute d' such that

$$d' = h(\text{message}, R)$$

. . the computation and comparison means of the  
 20 controller device ascertain that the commitments R, the  
 challenges d' and the responses D satisfy relationships of the  
 type:  
 type:

$$R \equiv G_1^{d1} \cdot G_2^{d2} \cdots G_m^{dm} \cdot D^v \pmod{n}$$

or a relationship of the type

$$R \equiv D^v / G_1^{d1} \cdot G_2^{d2} \cdots G_m^{dm} \pmod{n}$$

11. Terminal device associated with an entity, taking  
 the form especially of a nomad object, for example the form of  
 a microprocessor in a microprocessor-based bank card,  
 designed to prove to a controller device:

30 - the authenticity of an entity and/or  
 - the integrity of a message M associated with this entity;  
 by means of all or part of the following parameters or  
 derivatives of these parameters:

35 m pairs of private values Q<sub>1</sub>, Q<sub>2</sub>, ... Q<sub>m</sub> and public values  
 G<sub>1</sub>, G<sub>2</sub>, ... G<sub>m</sub> (m being greater than or equal to 1),

*SutA27*

- a public modulus  $n$  constituted by the product of  $f$  prime factors  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_f$  ( $f$  being greater than or equal to 2), the said modulus and the said private and public values being related by relations of the following type

5  $G_i \cdot Q_i^v \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$  or  $G_i \equiv Q_i^v \pmod{n}$ ;

where  $v$  denotes a public exponent of the form:

$$v = 2^k$$

where  $k$  is a security parameter greater than 1:

10 the said  $m$  public values  $G_i$  being squares  $g_i^2$  of  $m$  distinct base numbers  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m$ , smaller than the  $f$  prime factors  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_f$ :

the said  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_f$  prime factors and / or the said  $m$  base numbers  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m$  being produced such that the following conditions are satisfied:

15 **First condition**

each of the equations:

$$x_v \equiv g_i^2 \pmod{n} \quad (1)$$

can be resolved in  $x$  in the ring of integers modulo  $n$

**Second condition**

20 if  $G_i \equiv Q_i^v \pmod{n}$ , among the  $m$  numbers  $q_i$  obtained by taking  $Q_i$  squared modulo  $n$ ,  $k-1$  times, one of them is not equal to  $\pm g_i$  (in other words is not trivial),

25 if  $G_i \cdot Q_i^v \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ , among the  $m$  numbers  $q_i$  obtained by taking the inverse of  $Q_i$  modulo  $n$  squared modulo  $n$ ,  $k-1$  times, one of them is not equal to  $\pm g_i$  (in other words is not trivial) ;

**Third condition**

at least one of the  $2m$  equations

$$x^2 \equiv g_i \pmod{n} \quad (2)$$

$$x^2 \equiv -g_i \pmod{n} \quad (3)$$

30 can be resolved in  $x$  in the ring of integers modulo  $n$ .

the said terminal device comprises a witness device comprising

35 - a memory zone containing the  $f$  prime factors  $p_i$  and/or the  $m$  numbers of bases  $g_i$  and/or parameters of the Chinese

*Sub A)*

remainders of the prime factors and/or the public modulus n and/or the m private values  $Q_i$  and/or the f.m components  $Q_{i,j}$  ( $Q_{i,j} \equiv Q_i \text{ mod } p_j$ ) of the private values  $Q_i$  and of the public exponent v;

5 the said witness device also comprises:

- random value production means, hereinafter called random value production means of the witness device,

- computation means, hereinafter called means for the computation of commitments R of the witness device, to

10 compute commitments R in the ring of integers modulo n; each commitment being computed:

- either by performing operations of the type:

$$R \equiv r^v \text{ mod } n$$

where r is a random value produced by the random value production means, and r is such that  $0 < r < n$ .

- or by performing operations of the type:

$$R_i \equiv r_i^v \text{ mod } p_i$$

where  $r_i$  is a random value associated with the prime number  $p_i$  such that  $0 < r_i < p_i$ ; each  $r_i$  belonging to a collection 20 of random values  $\{r_1, r_2, \dots, r_f\}$  produced by random value production means, then by applying the Chinese remainders method;

the said witness device also comprises:

25 - reception means hereinafter called the means for the reception of the challenges d of the witness device, to receive one or more challenges d; each challenge d comprising m integers  $d_i$  hereinafter called elementary challenges;

- computation means, hereinafter called means for the computation of the responses D of the witness device for the computation, on the basis of each challenge d, of a response D,

- . either by performing operations of the type:

$$D \equiv r \cdot Q_1^{d1} \cdot Q_2^{d2} \cdot \dots \cdot Q_m^{dm} \text{ mod } n$$

- . or by performing operations of the type:

$$D \equiv r \cdot Q_{i,1}^{d1} \cdot Q_{i,2}^{d2} \cdot \dots \cdot Q_{i,m}^{dm} \text{ mod } p_i$$

35

*SubA2*

and then by applying the Chinese remainders method.

- transmission means to transmit one or more commitments R and one or more responses D;
- there are as many responses D as there are challenges d as
- 5 there are commitments R, each group of numbers R, d, D forming a triplet referenced {R, d, D}.

12. Terminal device according to claim 11, designed to prove the authenticity of an entity called a demonstrator to an entity called a controller;
- 10 the said terminal device being such that it comprises a demonstrator device associated with the demonstrator entity, the said demonstrator device being interconnected with the witness device by interconnection means and being capable especially of taking the form of logic microcircuits in a nomad object, for example the form of a microprocessor in a microprocessor-based bank card,
- 15

- the said demonstrator device also comprising connection means for its electrical, electromagnetic, optical or acoustic connection, especially through a data-processing communications network, to the controller device associated with the controller entity, the said controller device especially taking the form of a terminal or remote server;

the said terminal device enabling the execution of the following steps:

- 25 . Step 1: act of commitment R  
at each call, the means of computation of the commitments R of the witness device compute each commitment R by applying the process specified according to claim 1,
- 30 - the witness device has transmission means, hereinafter called the transmission means of the witness device to transmit all or part of each commitment R to the demonstrator device through the interconnection means,
- 35 the demonstrator device also has transmission means, hereinafter called the transmission means of the

*Sub A2*

demonstrator, to transmit all or part of each commitment R to the controller device, through the connection means;

. **Steps 2 and 3: act of challenge d, act of response D**

5       the means of reception or the challenges d of the witness device receive each challenge d coming from the controller device through the connection means between the controller device and the demonstrator device and through the interconnection means between the demonstrator device and the witness device, the means of computation of the responses D of the witness device compute the responses D from the challenges d by applying the process specified according to claim 1,

. **Step 4: act of checking**

15      the transmission means of the demonstrator transmit each response D to the controller that carries out the check.

13. Terminal device according to claim 11, designed to give proof to an entity, known as a controller, of the integrity of a message M associated with an entity known as a demonstrator,

20      the said terminal device being such that it comprises a demonstrator device associated with the demonstrator entity, the said demonstrator device being interconnected with the witness device by interconnection means and being capable especially of taking the form of logic microcircuits in a nomad object, for example the form of a microprocessor in a microprocessor-based bank card,

25      the said demonstrator device comprising connection means for its electrical, electromagnetic, optical or acoustic connection, especially through a data-processing communications network, to the controller device associated with the controller entity, the said controller device especially taking the form of a terminal or remote server;

30      the said terminal device being used to execute the following steps:

*Sub A*

**. Step 1: act of commitment R**

at each call, the means of computation of the commitments R of the witness device compute each commitment R by applying the process specified according to  
5 claim 1;

the witness device has means of transmission, hereinafter called the transmission means of the witness device, to transmit all or part of each commitment R to the demonstrator device through the interconnection means,

10 **. Steps 2 and 3: act of challenge d, act of response D**

the demonstrator device comprises computation means, hereinafter called the computation means of the demonstrator, applying a hashing function h whose arguments  
15 are the message M and all or part of each commitment R to compute at least one token T.

the demonstrator device also has transmission means, hereinafter known as the transmission means of the demonstrator device, to transmit each token T, through the connection means, to the controller device,  
20

*(the said controller device produces the same number of challenges d as the number of commitments R, after receiving the token T),*

the means of reception of the challenges d of the witness  
25 device receive each challenge d coming from the controller device, through the interconnection means, between the controller device and the demonstrator device and through the interconnection means between the demonstrator device and the witness device,

30 the means of computation of the responses D of the witness device compute the responses D from the challenges d by applying the process specified according to claim 1,

**. Step 4: act of checking**

*Sub A7*

the transmission means of the demonstrator send each response D to the controller device which carries out the check.

14. Terminal device according to claim 11, designed to produce the digital signature of a message M, hereinafter known as the signed message, by an entity called a signing entity;

the signed message comprising:  
- the message M,  
10 - the challenges d and/or the commitments R,  
- the responses D;

the said terminal device being such that it comprises a signing device associated with the signing entity, the said signing device being interconnected with the witness device by interconnection means and possibly taking especially the form of logic microcircuits in a nomad object, for example the form 15 of a microprocessor in a microprocessor-based bank card,

the said signing device comprising connection means for its electrical, electromagnetic, optical or acoustic connection, especially through a data-processing communications network, 20 to the controller device associated with the controller entity, the said controller device especially taking the form of a terminal or remote server;

#### **Signing operation**

25 the said terminal device being used to execute the following steps:

##### **. Step 1: act of commitment R**

at each call, the means of computation of the commitments R of the witness device compute each 30 commitment R by applying the process specified according to claim 1, the witness has means of transmission, hereinafter called the transmission means of the witness device, to transmit all or part of each commitment R to the signing device through the interconnection means,

##### **. Step 2: act of challenge d**

*Sub A2*

the signing device comprises computation means, hereinafter called the computation means of the signing device, applying a hashing function  $h$  whose arguments are the message  $M$  and all or part of each commitment  $R$  to compute a binary train and extract, from this binary train, challenges  $d$  whose number is equal to the number of commitments  $R$ ,

**5 . step 3: act of response D**

the means for the reception of the challenges  $d$  of the witness device receive the challenges  $d$  coming from the 10 signing device through the interconnection means, the means for computing the responses  $D$  of the witness device compute the responses  $D$  from the challenges  $d$  by applying the process specified according to claim 1,

15 the witness device comprises transmission means, hereinafter called means of transmission of the witness device, to transmit the responses  $D$  to the signing device, through the interconnection means.

20 15. Controller device especially taking the form of a terminal or remote server associated with a controller entity, designed to prove:

- the authenticity of an entity and/or
- the integrity of a message  $M$  associated with this entity.

by means of all or part of the following parameters or derivatives of these parameters:

25 -  $m$  pairs of public values  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m$  ( $m$  being greater than or equal to 1),

- a public modulus  $n$  constituted by the product of  $f$  prime factors  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_f$  ( $f$  being greater than or equal to 2), unknown to the controller device and the associated controller 30 entity,

the said modulus and the said private and public values being related by relations of the following type

$$G_i \cdot Q_i^v \equiv 1 \pmod{n} \text{ or } G_i \equiv Q_i^v \pmod{n};$$

where  $v$  denotes a public exponent of the form:

$$35 \quad v = 2^k$$

*Sub A2*

- where  $k$  is a security parameter greater than 1;  
 where  $Q_i$  is a private value, unknown to the controller device, associated with the public value  $G_i$ ;  
 the said  $m$  public values  $G_i$  being squares  $g_i^2$  of  $m$  distinct base numbers  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m$ , smaller than the  $f$  prime factors  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_f$ ;  
 the said  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_f$  prime factors and / or the said  $m$  base numbers  $g_1, g_2, \dots, g_m$  being produced such that the following conditions are satisfied:
- 10 First condition**  
 each of the equations:  

$$x_v \equiv g_i^2 \pmod{n} \quad (1)$$
 can be resolved in  $x$  in the ring of integers modulo  $n$
- Second condition**  
 if  $G_i \equiv Q_i^k \pmod{n}$ , among the  $m$  numbers  $q_i$  obtained by taking  $Q_i$  squared modulo  $n$ ,  $k-1$  times, one of them is not equal to  $\pm g_i$  (in other words is not trivial).  
 if  $G_i \cdot Q_i^k \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ , among the  $m$  numbers  $q_i$  obtained by taking the inverse of  $Q_i$  modulo  $n$  squared modulo  $n$ ,  $k-1$  times, one of them is not equal to  $\pm g_i$  (in other words is not trivial) ;
- Third condition**  
 at least one of the  $2m$  equations  

$$x^2 \equiv g_i \pmod{n} \quad (2)$$

$$x^2 \equiv -g_i \pmod{n} \quad (3)$$
 can be resolved in  $x$  in the ring of integers modulo  $n$ .
- 16. Controller device according to claim 15, designed to prove the authenticity of an entity called a demonstrator to an entity called a controller;**  
 the said controller device comprising connection means for its electrical, electromagnetic, optical or acoustic connection, especially through a data-processing communications network, to a demonstrator device associated with the demonstrator entity;  
 the said controller device being used to execute the following steps:

*Subpt 2*

**5 . Steps 1 and 2; act of commitment R, act of challenge d**

the said controller device also has means for the reception of all or part of the commitments R coming from the demonstrator device through the connection means,

10 the controller device has challenge production means for the production, after receiving all or part of each commitment R, of the challenges d in a number equal to the number of commitments R, each challenge d comprising m integers di hereinafter called elementary challenges.

the controller device also has transmission means, hereinafter called transmission means of the controller, to transmit the challenges d to the demonstrator through the connection means;

15 **. Steps 3 and 4: act of response, act of checking**  
the said controller device also comprises:

- means for the reception of the responses D coming from the demonstrator device, through the connection means,  
- computation means, hereinafter called the computation  
20 means of the controller device,

- comparison means, hereinafter called the comparison means of the controller device,

**case where the demonstrator has transmitted a part of each commitment R.**

25 if the reception means of the demonstrator have received a part of each commitment R, the computation means or the controller device, having m public values  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m$  compute a reconstructed commitment  $R'$ , from each challenge d and each response D, this reconstructed commitment  $R'$  satisfying a relationship of the type :

$$R' \equiv G_1^{d1} \cdot G_2^{d2} \cdot \dots \cdot G_m^{dm} \cdot D^v \pmod{n}$$

or a relationship of the type

$$R' \equiv D^v / G_1^{d1} \cdot G_2^{d2} \cdot \dots \cdot G_m^{dm} \pmod{n}$$

*Sub A2*

the comparison means of the controller device compare each reconstructed commitment  $R'$  with all or part of each commitment  $R$  received,

**case where the demonstrator has transmitted the totality of each commitment R**

if the reception means of the controller device have received the totality of each commitment  $R$ , the computation means and the comparison means of the controller device, having  $m$  public values  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m$  ascertain that each commitment  $R$  satisfies a relationship of the type :

$$R \equiv G_1^{d1} \cdot G_2^{d2} \cdot \dots \cdot G_m^{dm} \cdot D^v \pmod{n}$$

or a relationship of the type

$$R \equiv D^v / G_1^{d1} \cdot G_2^{d2} \cdot \dots \cdot G_m^{dm} \pmod{n}$$

17. Controller device according to claim 15, designed to prove the integrity of a message  $M$  associated with an entity known as a demonstrator,

the said controller device comprising connection means for its electrical, electromagnetic, optical or acoustic connection, especially through a data-processing communications network, to a demonstrator device associated with the demonstrator entity,

the said controller device enabling the execution of the following steps:

**. Steps 1 and 2: act of commitment R, act of challenge d**

the said controller device also has means for the reception of tokens  $T$  coming from the demonstrator device through the connection means,

the controller device has challenge production means for the production, after having received the token  $T$ , of the challenges  $d$  in a number equal to the number of commitments  $R$ , each challenge  $d$  comprising  $m$  integers, hereinafter called elementary challenges ;

the controller device also has transmission means, hereinafter called the transmission means of the controller

*Sub A2* device, to transmit the challenges d to the demonstrator through the connection means;

**Steps 3 and 4: act of response D, act of checking**

5 the said controller device also comprises:

- means for reception of the responses D coming from the demonstrator device, through the connection means,
- computation means, hereinafter called the computation means of the controller device, having m public values  $G_1, G_2, \dots, G_m$  to firstly compute a reconstructed commitment  $R'$ , from each challenge d and each response D, this reconstructed commitment  $R'$  satisfying a relationship of the type:

$$15 \quad R' \equiv G_1^{d1} \cdot G_2^{d2} \cdot \dots \cdot G_m^{dm} \cdot D^v \bmod n$$

or a relationship of the type

$$R' \equiv D^v / G_1^{d1} \cdot G_2^{d2} \cdot \dots \cdot G_m^{dm} \bmod n$$

then, secondly, compute a token  $T'$  by applying the hashing function h having as arguments the message M and all 20 or part of each reconstructed commitment  $R'$ , the controller device also comprises

- comparison means, hereinafter called the comparison means of the controller device, to compare the token  $T'$  with the received token T.

25 18. Controller device according to claim 15, designed to prove the authenticity of the message M by checking a signed message by means of an entity called a signed message;

30 the signed message sent by a signing device associated with a signing entity having a hashing function h (message, R), comprising:

- the message M,
- the challenges d and/or the commitments R,
- the response D;

*Sub A2***Checking operation**

the said controller device comprising connection means for its electrical, electromagnetic, optical or acoustic connection, especially through a data-processing communications network, to a signing device associated with the signing entity, the said controller device having received the signed message from the signing device, through the connection means,

the controller device comprises:

10 - computation means, hereinafter called the computation means of the controller device,

- comparison means, hereinafter called the comparison means of the controller device;

15 . case where the controller device has commitments R, challenges d, responses D

if the controller has commitments R, challenges d, responses D,

20 . . the computation and comparison means of the controller device ascertain that the commitments R, the challenges d and the responses D satisfy relationships of the type

$$R \equiv G_1^{d1} \cdot G_2^{d2} \cdot \dots \cdot G_m^{dm} \cdot D^v \pmod{n}$$

or a relationship of the type

$$R \equiv D^v / G_1^{d1} \cdot G_2^{d2} \cdot \dots \cdot G_m^{dm} \pmod{n}$$

25 . . the computation and comparison means of the controller device ascertain that the message M and the challenges d satisfy the hashing function

$$d = h(\text{message}, R)$$

30 . case where the controller device has commitments R and responses D

if the controller device has commitments R and responses D.

. . the computation means of the controller device apply the hashing function and compute d' such that

35  $d' = h(\text{message}, R')$

SUTA 2

the computation and comparison means of the controller device ascertain that the commitments R, the challenges d' and the responses D satisfy relationships of the type:

$$R \equiv G_1^{d1} \cdot G_2^{d2} \cdot \dots \cdot G_m^{dm} \cdot D^v \pmod{n}$$

or a relationship of the type

$$R \equiv D^v / G_1^{d1} \cdot G_2^{d2} \cdot \dots \cdot G_m^{dm} \pmod{n}$$